IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE FOR RAJASTHAN
BENCH AT JAIPUR
S.B. Civil Writ Petition No.2127/07
Hazari Lal Bairwa
Vs.
Rajasthan State Vidyut Prasaran Nigam Ltd.

9.5.2007

***Hon'ble Mr. Justice Mohammad Rafiq***

Shri Tarun Jain for petitioner.
Shri Manish Bhandari for respondent.

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that even though he applied for appointment on the post of Junior Engineer-II (Electrical) with the respondent Rajasthan State Vidyut Prasaran Nigam Ltd., but the respondents refused to consider his case. It was submitted that the petitioner is a

polytechnic diploma holder in Electrical Engineering. He has furnished with his application form the experience certificate dated 8.3.2000 from APPC, a private organization of Bikaner and another experience certificate dated 19.4.2001 of apprentice training with Rajasthan Vidyut Prasaran Nigam Ltd. He therefore submits that the respondents were not justified in refunding to consider his case for appointment.

The respondents have contested the writ petition. Shri Manish Bhandari, the learned counsel for the respondents submits that even though in the advertisement inviting application it was categorically stated that the candidates possessing working experience of more than one year on 66 KV line or high

tension grid lines is eligible, but the petitioner did not fulfill either of these requirements therefore he was not eligible for being considered. It was argued that for general candidates the minimum marks required is 60%. The petitioner being belonged to backward class and by securing 58.95% he is eligible but because of lack of experience he did not have the eligibility for appointment.

Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the material on record, I do not find that he action of th respondents can be held to be illegal in requiring the petitioner to possess the required experience notified in the advertisement. Even if the petitioner worked with certain private

organization and has been a apprentice trainee, that cannot be equated with the required working experience.

I do not find any merit in this petition.

The writ petition is dismissed.

**(Mohammad Rafiq),J.**

**Rs/-**